

88

CO

CO

STEVEN MA 23

MCDONNELL DOUGLAS

CORPORATION

29 November 1983

United States Environmental
Protection Agency
Region VII
324 East Eleventh Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

ATTN: Ms. Midge Given

SUBJECT: McDonnell Douglas Corporation
EPA ID No.: MOD075888487 - St. Charles, Missouri
EPA ID No.: MOD000818963 - St. Louis, Missouri
EPA ID No.: OKD041501347 - Tulsa, Oklahoma

Dear Ms. Given:

In response to your telecon of 23 November 1983, the enclosed is hereby submitted to satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR 264.151 (f). Hopefully this will complete the McDonnell Douglas Corporation filing.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned at (314) 232-5145.

Sincerely,

J. Kevin Coyne
J. Kevin Coyne, Sr. Administrator
Corporate General Insurance

JKC:rjk

Enclosure (1)



R00144184
RCRA RECORDS CENTER

DEC 01 1983

Ernst & Whinney

10 Broadway
St. Louis, Missouri 63102

314/231-7700

McDonnell Douglas Corporation
St. Louis, Missouri

We have applied certain procedures, as discussed below, with respect to selected data contained in J. G. Brown's letter dated 14 November 1983 to the Regional Administrator of Region VII of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. These procedures were performed solely for inclusion with this letter referred to above, and our report is not to be used for any other purpose. The procedures we performed are summarized as follows:

- a. We determined that the amounts included in the letter referred to above for Total Liabilities, Tangible Net Worth (Total Assets less Deferred Charges and Total Liabilities), Net Worth, Current Assets, Current Liabilities, Net Working Capital (Current Assets less Current Liabilities) and Net Earnings plus Depreciation and Amortization were in agreement with or derived from the 31 December 1982 Audited Consolidated Financial Statements of McDonnell Douglas Corporation.
- b. We determined that the assets located in the United States which were included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet of McDonnell Douglas Corporation as of 31 December 1982 exceeded 90% of total assets at that date.

Because the above procedures do not constitute an examination in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, we do not express an opinion on any of the accounts or items referred to above. No matters came to our attention that caused us to believe that the specified data should be adjusted. This report relates only to the accounts and items specified above and does not extend to any financial statements of McDonnell Douglas Corporation taken as a whole.

Ernst & Whinney

St. Louis, Missouri
14 November 1983